arXiv:quant-ph/0112163v1 26 Dec 2001

Comment on "One-Step Synthesis of Multiatom Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger States"

G.S. Agarwal[1], R.R. Puri[2], and R.P. Singh[1]
[1] *Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad-380009,* [2] *Bhabha Atomic Research Center, Mumbai-400085*

(February 1, 2008)

In a recent Letter, Zheng [1] shows a very interesting method of generating multiatom Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) states. He considers a model system consisting of a set of N two-level atoms interacting with the vacuum of the single mode cavity. The cavity is assumed to be sufficiently detuned so that the cavity does not cause real transitions between the excited and the ground state of the atom. He acknowledges that the effective Hamiltonian derived by him is the same as the one derived in our paper (APS) [2]. He then studies the time evolution of the atomic system. He specifically demonstrates the generation of the GHZ states for $\eta t = \pi/2$. His most general result is given by the Eq. (49) of which his other key results (15) and (30) are special cases, obtained by setting N=3 and 4 in Eq. (49). Our earlier paper [2] gives the time evolution of the system for a very general initial condition using the same Hamiltonian. One of our key results is given by Eq. (22) of APS. This particular result of APS shows that at time $\eta t = \pi/2$ the state of the system is an atomic cat state if the initial state of the system is chosen as an atomic coherent state $|\theta, \phi\rangle$. The purpose of this comment is to point out the relation between the works of Zheng and APS and to show the important connection between the atomic cat state and the GHZ states. Zheng's key result Eq. (49) is found to be a special case of our general result Eq. (22) for the choice of the parameters $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\phi = -\pi/2$. We further discuss the detection of the atomic cat state by using the Ramsey spectroscopy.

The fact that the results of references [1] and [2] are identical should not come as a surprise and is only expected if one considers the same interaction Hamiltonian, same initial state and the same value of the interaction time. Needless to say that our paper goes much beyond what is shown in the work of Zheng as we choose a variety of initial states and interaction times - see, for example, the more general entangled states described by Eqs. (20, 21) of APS. Besides we discuss the quantum character of such states by examining the quasidistributions for these states [Ref. [3] which also discusses the Wigner function and the decoherence of such entangled states]. The interference character shown in the Fig. 3 of APS is a reflection of the entangled character of the state. It might be added that dispersive interaction of the type discussed by APS is quite natural in the context of Bose condensates where one has begun to produce entangled states. Let us now demonstrate explicitly what we stated above. The effective Hamiltonian for the problem is $\eta S^+ S^-$ where $S^\pm$ are the collective operators for a system of N two-level atoms. The initial state considered by Zheng is $|\psi(0)\rangle = \prod_j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|g_j > +i|e_j\rangle)$ and he shows that the state at $\eta t = \pi/2$ is a multiparticle GHZ state (Eq. (49) of Ref. [1])

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2}}\{\prod_j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|g_j\rangle + (-i)^N|e_j\rangle) - i\prod_j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|g_j\rangle - (-i)^N|e_j\rangle)\} \quad (1)$$

The APS result for an initial state that is atomic coherent state $|\theta, \phi\rangle$ is

$$|\psi\rangle_A \equiv \frac{e^{-iN\pi/2}}{\sqrt{2}}[e^{i\pi/4}|\theta, \phi - \frac{\pi(N-1)}{2}\rangle + e^{-i\pi/4}|\theta, \phi - \frac{\pi(N-3)}{2}\rangle] \quad (2)$$

For the fully symmetric case considered by APS the atomic coherent state is an uncorrelated state $|\theta, \phi\rangle = e^{iN\phi}\prod_j \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}|g_j\rangle + e^{-i\phi}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}|e_j\rangle\right)$. By straightforward algebra one can prove that $|\psi\rangle_A = e^{-iN\pi/2}|\psi\rangle$. Note that $|\psi(0)\rangle_A = e^{-iN\pi/2}|\psi(0)\rangle$.

Consider next the detection of states like (1) or (2). For this purpose we can use a Ramsey set up. In the first Ramsey zone (just before atoms enter the cavity) the atoms are prepared in the state $|\theta, \phi\rangle$. In the second Ramsey zone just after the cavity the cat state (2) gets projected onto $\langle\alpha, \beta|\psi\rangle$, where α and β refer to the parameters of the external field in the second Ramsey zone. After the second Ramsey zone the probability of detecting all atoms in the ground state would yield the entangled nature of the state (2). In particular for $\theta = \pi/2, \phi = -\pi/2$ and for $\alpha = \pi/2$, N= odd, Eq. (2) yields an interference pattern that is quite different from the corresponding results in the absence of the cavity and for the incoherent mixture. Note that one can produce a variety of interference patterns for the state (2) by choosing a range of values for the parameters α and β referring to the second Ramsey zone.

[1] Shi-Biao Zheng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 230404 (2001).
[2] G.S. Agarwal, R.R. Puri, and R.P. Singh, Phys. Rev. A **56**, 2249 (1997).
[3] G.S. Agarwal, 5^{th} *Wigner Symposium*, pp. 313-322, edited by P. Kasperkovitz and D. Grau (World Scientific, 1998).